arXiv:1606.03098v2 [math.AG] 27 Jul 2016

THE SEGRE ZETA FUNCTION OF AN IDEAL

PAOLO ALUFFI

Abstract. We define a power series associated with a homogeneous ideal in a polynomial ring, encoding information on the Segre classes defined by extensions of the ideal in projective spaces of arbitrarily high dimension. We prove that this power series is rational, with poles corresponding to generators of the ideal, and with numerator of bounded degree and with nonnegative coefficients. We also prove that this 'Segre zeta function' only depends on the integral closure of the ideal.

The results follow from good functoriality properties of the 'shadows' of rational equivalence classes of projective bundles. More precise results can be given if all homogeneous generators have the same degree, and for monomial ideals.

In certain cases, the general description of the Segre zeta function given here leads to substantial improvements in the speed of algorithms for the computation of Segre classes. We also compute the projective ranks of a nonsingular variety in terms of the corresponding zeta function, and we discuss the Segre zeta function of a local complete intersection of low codimension in projective space.

1. Introduction

Let k be a field, and let $I \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal. In this paper we consider a formal power series with integer coefficients determined by I,

$$\zeta_I(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i \quad , \tag{1}$$

which we call the *Segre zeta function* of I. This series is characterized by the fact that for all $N \geq n$, the class

$$(a_0 + a_1 H + \cdots + a_N H^N) \cap [\mathbb{P}^N] \quad ,$$

where H denotes the hyperplane class, equals the push-forward to $\mathbb{P}^N$ of the Segre class $s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N)$ of the subscheme Z_N defined by the extension of I to $k[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$. It is not difficult to verify (Lemma 5.2) that this prescription does determine a unique power series as in (1). The main result of the paper states that $\zeta_I(t)$ is rational and gives some *a priori* information on its poles and its 'numerator'.

Our main motivation comes from the theory of Segre classes. The *Segre class* of an embedding of schemes is a basic ingredient in modern (Fulton-MacPherson) intersection theory, with applications to, among others, enumerative geometry and the computation of invariants of singularities. (See [Ful84] for a thorough treatment of Segre classes and for many applications.) In practice, concrete computations of Segre classes are often very challenging, even in comparatively simple situations. Interesting applications would follow easily if one could compute the Segre class $s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n)$ of a scheme in $\mathbb{P}^n$, but even in this restricted context the range of known techniques to compute Segre classes is essentially limited to their definition and to reverse-engineering enumerative consequences. Algorithms for their computation have been developed along these lines and implemented ([Alu03], [EJP13], [Hel16], [Har]), but will only deal with small examples. To our knowledge, the only class of ideals for which an alternative strategy has been developed is the case of monomial schemes ([Alu13], [Aluc], and see §6.2 in this paper); but this case also has limited applicability.

The Segre zeta function (1) may be viewed as a 'generating function' for Segre classes. Therefore, general properties of $\zeta_I(t)$ translate into properties of Segre classes, which could

lead to more tools for their computation and a better understanding of these invariants. Our main result is the following (see Theorem 5.8 for a slightly extended version).

Theorem. *Let $I \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal, and let $f_0, \ldots, f_r$ be a set of homogeneous generators of I. Then the Segre zeta function $\zeta_I(t)$ is* rational, *with poles at $-1/d_j$ for a subset $\{d_j\}_{j\in J}$ of $\{\deg f_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,r}$. More precisely,*

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1+(\deg f_0)t)\cdots(1+(\deg f_r)t)} \quad ,$$

with $P(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients, trailing term of degree $\operatorname{codim} I$*, and leading term $(\prod_i \deg f_i)t^{r+1}$.*

In general not all numbers $-1/\deg f_i$ appear as poles of $\zeta_I(t)$, even for a minimal set of generators of I. For example, if f_r is integral over $(f_0, \ldots, f_{r-1})$, then, with notation as above, $(1+(\deg f_r)t)$ is a factor of $P(t)$. Equivalently, $\zeta_I(t) = \zeta_J(t)$ if J is a reduction of I. This observation leads to a sharper version of the main theorem (Proposition 5.12, Corollary 5.13).

The main features of the statement may be extracted from basic considerations in Fulton-MacPherson intersection theory; this is observed in §2. We base a full proof of the main result on certain functoriality statements concerning the structure theorem for the Chow group of a projective bundle. These are given in §3 without reference to 'Segre zeta functions', and seem to us independently interesting. The connection with Segre classes is given in §4, and the main theorem is proven in §5, together with some refinements. For example, we observe (Corollary 5.14) that if $-1/d$ is a pole of $\zeta_I(t)$, then d is an element of the degree sequence of a minimal homogeneous reduction of I.

The theorem has applications to the effective computation of Segre classes. We illustrate this with examples, given in §5. Substantial progress in this direction will require a more explicit description of the 'numerator' in $\zeta_I(t)$ corresponding to a degree sequence (determining the denominator). For example, if the generators $f_0, \ldots, f_r$ form a regular sequence, then this numerator equals $(\prod_i \deg f_i)t^r$. Our hope is that $\zeta_I(t)$ may be described more explicitly using tools from e.g., commutative algebra. We provide a rather explicit description in two particular cases, in §§6.1 and 6.2, where the numerators are determined by enumerative geometry considerations and by the volumes of certain polytopes.

It would be helpful to have precise results on the behavior of the zeta function under standard operations on ideals. We observe (see §6) that $\zeta_{I'+I''}(t) = \zeta_{I'}(t)\zeta_{I''}(t)$ if I' and I'' satisfy a strong transversality condition. It would also be interesting to establish precise formulas relating the Segre zeta function with other invariants of an ideal or of the corresponding schemes. In §6.3 we show how to compute the projective *ranks* of a nonsingular projective variety from the Segre zeta function of a defining ideal. The (well-known) fact that the projective dual variety of a nonsingular complete intersection is a hypersurface is an immediate consequence (Example 6.4). In §6.4 we prove that, under certain hypotheses, the Segre zeta function of a *local* complete intersection in projective space equals the Segre zeta function of a *global* complete intersection. The truth of Hartshorne's conjecture would imply that this is in fact the case for all nonsingular subvarieties of low codimension in projective space.

Remark 1.1. The paper [Alu15] quotes a paper with the tentative title "Rationality of a Segre zeta function" for results on Segre classes that are close in spirit to the results proven in this paper. Those results may now be found in [Alud], which includes a discussion of the Segre zeta functions of ideals generated by sections of a fixed line bundle. ⌟

Acknowledgments. This work was supported in part by the Simons foundation and by NSA grant H98230-16-1-0016. The author is grateful to Caltech for hospitality while this work was carried out.

2. Shortcut to rationality

It is easy to convince oneself that $\zeta_I(t)$ should be rational, as a consequence of basic properties of the intersection product defined in [Ful84, Chapter 6]. Given a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$, let $\{f_0, \ldots, f_r\}$ be any set of homogeneous generators for I, and let $d_i = \deg f_i$. Assume $n > r$. Let $X_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be the hypersurface defined by $f_i = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$; thus $Z = X_0 \cap \cdots \cap X_r$ is the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by I. Consider the fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \\ {\scriptstyle\delta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\Delta} \\ X_0 \times \cdots \times X_r & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^n \end{array}$$

where Δ is the diagonal embedding. The intersection product $X_0 \cdots X_r$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ may be defined as

$$\{c(\delta^* N_{X_0 \times \cdots \times X_r} \mathbb{P}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cap s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n)\}_{n-r-1} \quad ,$$

the part of dimension $n - r - 1$ in the class within brackets. By [Ful84, Example 6.1.6],

$$\{c(\delta^* N_{X_0 \times \cdots \times X_r} \mathbb{P}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cap s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n)\}_i = 0$$

for $i < n - r - 1$. Denoting by H the hyperplane class, we have

$$c(\delta^* N_{X_0 \times \cdots \times X_r} \mathbb{P}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^n) = \prod_i (1 + d_i H) \quad ;$$

therefore, letting $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the inclusion,

$$\iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n) = \frac{\left(\prod_i (1 + d_i H) \cap \iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n)\right)}{\prod_i (1 + d_i H)} \tag{2}$$

expresses $\iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n)$ as a 'rational function' with poles in the set $\{-1/d_i\}_{i=0,\ldots,r}$, and whose numerator may only have nonzero terms in codimension $\leq (r + 1)$.

This observation captures the essential features of the rationality result of this note, modulo technical details such as the independence on the choices made here and the refinements mentioned in the introduction. In the sections that follow we will provide a full proof of the main theorem, based on functoriality considerations concerning 'shadows'.

3. Functoriality of shadows

Let V be a variety, and let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector bundle on V. According to the structure theorem for the Chow group $A_* \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ of the projective bundle $\mathscr{E}$ ([Ful84, Theorem 3.3(b)]), every pure-dimensional class $C \in A_d \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$, may be written uniquely as

$$C = \sum_{j=0}^{r} c_1(\mathscr{O}(1))^j \cap \alpha^*(\underline{C}_{d-r+j})$$

where $\alpha : \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \to V$ is the structure morphism, $r + 1$ is the rank of $\mathscr{E}$, and $\underline{C}_{i-r+j} \in A_{i-r+j} V$.

Definition 3.1 ([Alu04])**.** The *shadow* of C is the class $\underline{C} := \underline{C}_{d-r} + \cdots + \underline{C}_d$. ⌟

By definition, $C \in A_d \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ may be reconstructed from its shadow and from the information of its dimension d. On the other hand, the shadow of C may be computed directly from C:

$$\underline{C} = c(\mathscr{E}) \cap \alpha_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap C) \tag{3}$$

([Alu04, Lemma 4.2]).

Not surprisingly, shadows satisfy simple compatibility properties with respect to proper or flat morphisms. Let $\varphi : W \to V$ be a morphism. If $\mathscr{E}$ is a vector bundle on V, we have an induced morphism $\hat{\varphi} : \mathbb{P}(\varphi^*\mathscr{E}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ and the fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\varphi^*\mathscr{E}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \\ {\scriptstyle\beta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} \\ W & \xrightarrow[\varphi]{} & V \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $\varphi, \hat{\varphi}$ are proper, and let C' be a class in $A_*\mathbb{P}(\varphi^*\mathscr{E})$. Then*

$$\varphi_*(\underline{C'}) = \underline{\hat{\varphi}_*(C')} \quad .$$

Proof. This is a formal consequence of (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*(\underline{C'}) &= \varphi_*\left(c(\varphi^*\mathscr{E}) \cap \beta_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap C')\right) \\ &= c(\mathscr{E}) \cap \varphi_*\beta_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap C') \\ &= c(\mathscr{E}) \cap \alpha_*\hat{\varphi}_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap C') \\ &= c(\mathscr{E}) \cap \alpha_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap \hat{\varphi}_*(C')) \\ &= \underline{\hat{\varphi}_*(C')} \end{aligned}$$

as stated. □

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $\varphi, \hat{\varphi}$ are flat, and let C be a class in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$. Then*

$$\underline{\hat{\varphi}^*(C)} = \varphi^*\left(\underline{C}\right) \quad .$$

Proof. This is also formal, using (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\hat{\varphi}^*(C)} &= c(\varphi^*\mathscr{E}) \cap \beta_*\left(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap \hat{\varphi}^*(C)\right) \\ &= c(\varphi^*\mathscr{E}) \cap \beta_*\hat{\varphi}^*\left(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap C\right) \\ &= c(\varphi^*\mathscr{E}) \cap \varphi^*\alpha_*\left(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap C\right) \end{aligned}$$

since the diagram is a fiber square

$$\begin{aligned} &= \varphi^*\left(c(\mathscr{E}) \cap \alpha_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap C)\right) \\ &= \varphi^*(\underline{C}) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Both Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 are straightforward consequences of the basic properties of push-forwards and pull-backs of regular morphisms. We are interested in a different type of functoriality, involving *rational* morphisms, and which will require a little more work.

Let $\rho : V \dashrightarrow W$ be a dominant rational morphism of varieties, and let S be a subscheme of V. We say that p is a *projection with center at S* if the blow-up $\pi : \widetilde{V} \to V$ of V at S resolves the indeterminacies of ρ and the lift $\tilde{\rho} : \widetilde{V} \to W$ is flat.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widetilde{V} & \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle\tilde{\rho}} \\ V & \overset{\rho}{- - \to} & W \end{array}$$

If $\rho : V \dashrightarrow W$ is a projection with center at S, and $\gamma \in A_*W$, we define the *join* of γ and S to be the class

$$\gamma \vee S := \pi_*\tilde{\rho}^*(\gamma) \in A_*V \quad .$$

If $S = \emptyset$, then ρ is a flat regular map, and the join operation is the ordinary pull-back. The main result of this section is the extension of Lemma 3.3 to the case of projections.

We consider vector bundles $\mathscr{E}_V$, resp., $\mathscr{E}_W$ of the same rank $r+1$ on V, resp. W.

Definition 3.4. We say that $\mathscr{E}_V$, $\mathscr{E}_W$ are *compatible* if their pull-backs to $V \smallsetminus S$ are isomorphic:

$$\mathscr{E}_V|_{V\smallsetminus S} \cong \rho'^{*}\mathscr{E}_W$$

where $\rho' : V \smallsetminus S \to W$ is the restriction of ρ. If $\mathscr{E}_V$ and $\mathscr{E}_W$ are compatible, we choose an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}_V|_{V\smallsetminus S}) \cong \mathbb{P}(\rho'^{*}\mathscr{E}_W)$ and use it to identify the corresponding Chow groups. Choices of classes $C_V \in A_d\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}_V)$, $C_W \in A_{d-\dim V+\dim W}\mathscr{E}_W$ are then *compatible* if they agree after pull-back to the restrictions over $V \smallsetminus S$. ⌟

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\rho : V \dashrightarrow W$ be a projection with center at S, and let $\mathscr{E}_V$, resp., $\mathscr{E}_W$ be compatible vector bundles of rank $(r+1)$ on V, resp., W. Let $C_V \in A_d(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}_V))$, $C_W \in A_{d-\dim V+\dim W}(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}_W))$ be compatible classes, with $d > r + \dim S$. Then*

$$\underline{C_V} = \underline{C_W} \vee S \quad .$$

Proof. The notation we need may be found in the following diagram.



The compatibility of $\mathscr{E}_V$, $\mathscr{E}_W$ implies that the pull-backs of $\mathscr{E}_V$, $\mathscr{E}_W$ to the complement V° of the exceptional divisor E in $\widetilde{V}$ are isomorphic:

$$i^*\pi^*\mathscr{E}_V \cong i^*\tilde\rho^*\mathscr{E}_W$$

where $i : V^\circ \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}$ is the open embedding. The compatibility of C_V and C_W implies that the restrictions of $\hat\pi^*(C_V)$ and $\hat\rho^*(C_W)$ to V° coincide. By Lemma 3.3, the corresponding shadows coincide after restriction to V°:

$$i^*\underline{\hat\pi^*(C_V)} = \underline{i^*\hat\pi^*(C_V)} = \underline{i^*\hat\rho^*(C_W)} = i^*\underline{\hat\rho^*(C_W)} \quad .$$

By the exact sequence of Chow groups for an open embedding ([Ful84, §1.8]), there exists a class γ in $A_*(E)$ such that

$$\underline{\hat\pi^*(C_V)} = \underline{\hat\rho^*(C_W)} + j_*(\gamma) \tag{4}$$

in $A_*(\widetilde{V})$, where $j : E \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}$ is the inclusion. Note that nonzero components of $j_*(\gamma)$ necessarily have dimension $\geq d - r$, since this is the case for the other classes appearing in (4).

Since $\tilde\rho$ is flat, we have $\underline{\hat\rho^*(C_W)} = \tilde\rho^*(\underline{C_W})$, also by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, $\pi_*(\underline{\hat\pi^*(C_V)}) = \underline{C_V}$ by Lemma 3.2. Therefore, (4) implies

$$\underline{C_V} = \pi_*\tilde\rho^*(\underline{C_W}) + \pi_*j_*(\gamma) \tag{5}$$

after pushing forward by π. By definition, $\pi_*\tilde\rho^*(\underline{C_W}) = \underline{C_W} \vee S$. Finally, $\pi_*j_*(\gamma)$ can only have nonzero components in dimension $\geq d-r$, but it is supported on S, whose dimension is $< d - r$ by hypothesis. Therefore $\pi_*j_*(\gamma) = 0$, the right-hand side of (5) equals $\underline{C_W} \vee S$, and the stated equality follows. □

If $S = \emptyset$, then the hypothesis $d > r + \dim S$ is vacuous, ρ is flat, $\rho^*(\mathscr{E}_W) \cong \mathscr{E}_V$, $\underline{C_W} \vee S = \rho^*(\underline{C_W})$, and Theorem 3.5 reduces to Lemma 3.3. If $S \neq \emptyset$, then the hypothesis $d > r + \dim S$ is necessary.

While we will not need this for our main result, it is occasionally useful to relate the shadow of a class $C \in \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ to the shadow of the class $C_{\mathscr{L}}$ corresponding to C in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})$, where $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle on V. The reader should have no difficulties proving the following statement, which uses the notation introduced in [Alu94, §2].

Lemma 3.6. *Let $C \in A_d\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \cong A_d\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}\otimes\mathscr{L})$, and let $\underline{C}$, $\underline{C}_{\mathscr{L}}$ be respectively the shadows of C viewed as a class in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ and as a class in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}\otimes\mathscr{L})$. Then*

$$\underline{C}_{\mathscr{L}} = c(\mathscr{L})^{\mathrm{rk}\,\mathscr{E}-1+\dim V-d} \cap (\underline{C} \otimes_V \mathscr{L}) \quad .$$

4. Shadows and Segre classes

As in §3, let V be a variety. Let $Z \subsetneq V$ be a proper subscheme, realized as the zero-scheme of a section σ of a vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on V. The blow-up of V along Z may be embedded in the projectivization of $\mathscr{E}$: σ induces a *rational* section $\sigma : V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$, and $B\ell_Z V$ may be identified with the (closure of the) image of this section. We may then consider the shadow $\underline{B\ell}$ of the class of $B\ell_Z V$ in $\mathbb{P}\mathscr{E}$; this is a class in A_*V. There is a very straightforward relation between $\underline{B\ell}$ and the push-forward to V of the segre class $s(Z,V)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow V$ be the embedding. Then*

$$\iota_* s(Z,V) = [V] - s(\mathscr{E}) \cap \underline{B\ell} \quad .$$

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \to V$ be the projection. Using (3),

$$\begin{aligned} [V] - s(\mathscr{E}) \cap \underline{B\ell} &= [V] - s(\mathscr{E})c(\mathscr{E})\alpha_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap [B\ell_Z V]) \\ &= [V] - \alpha_*(c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap [B\ell_Z V]) \\ &= \alpha_*\left([B\ell_Z V] - c(\mathscr{O}(-1))^{-1} \cap [B\ell_Z V]\right) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The class $c(\mathscr{O}(-1))$ restricts to $1+E$ on $B\ell_Z V$, where E is the exceptional divisor. Therefore

$$[V] - s(\mathscr{E}) \cap \underline{B\ell} = \alpha_*\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+E}\right) \cap [B\ell_Z V]\right) = \alpha_*\left(\frac{[E]}{1+E}\right) \quad .$$

The statement follows (by [Ful84, Corollary 4.2.2]). □

Remark 4.2. (i) We could restrict $\mathscr{E}$ to Z, and consider the shadow $\underline{E}$ of the exceptional divisor E as a class in A_*Z. Then $s(Z,V) = s(\mathscr{E}) \cap \underline{E}$, as the reader may verify.

(ii) If V is nonsingular, and Z is the zero-scheme of a section of the tangent bundle TV, then $\underline{E}$ equals the Chern-Fulton class $c_{\mathrm{F}}(Z)$ of Z, defined in [Ful84, Example 4.2.6(a)] (in particular, it is independent of V).

(iii) In V, $\underline{B\ell} + \underline{E}$ is a decomposition of the total Chern class $c(\mathscr{E}) \cap [V]$ as a sum of two classes. This decomposition generalizes the decomposition of $c(\mathscr{L})$ as $1 + D$, where D is the divisor determined by a section of a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$. ⌟

Example 4.3. Let $V = \mathbb{P}^n$, and assume that the ideal of Z is generated by $r+1$ forms of degree d: that is, $\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{O}(d)^{r+1}$. Applying Lemma 4.1 gives

$$\iota_* s(Z,\mathbb{P}^n) = [\mathbb{P}^n] - (1+dH)^{-r-1} \cap \underline{B\ell}_{\mathscr{O}(d)}$$

where $\underline{B\ell}_{\mathscr{O}(d)}$ is the shadow of $B\ell_Z\mathbb{P}^n$ as a class in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$. On the other hand, tensoring $\mathscr{E}$ by $\mathscr{O}(-d)$ realizes $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ as a trivial bundle $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^r$. According to Lemma 3.6, the corresponding shadow changes as follows:

$$\underline{B\ell}_{\mathscr{O}(d)} = (1+dH)^r \cap (\underline{B\ell} \otimes \mathscr{O}(dH)) \quad ,$$

where $\underline{B\ell}$ is the shadow with respect to the trivial bundle. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_* s(Z,\mathbb{P}^n) &= [\mathbb{P}^n] - (1+dH)^{-r-1} \cap ((1+dH)^r \cap (\underline{B\ell} \otimes \mathscr{O}(dH))) \\ &= [\mathbb{P}^n] - (1+dH)^{-1} \cap (\underline{B\ell} \otimes \mathscr{O}(dH)) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

This reproduces Proposition 3.1 in [Alu03]. ⌟

In view of Lemma 4.1, the functoriality properties proved in §3 imply analogous properties for Segre classes, at least after push-forward to the ambient variety. Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 simply specialize to the good behavior of Segre classes with respect to proper and to flat

morphisms, [Ful84, Proposition 4.2]. Theorem 3.5 determines the behavior of Segre classes under 'projections', as follows.

As in §3, we consider a projection $\rho : V \dashrightarrow W$ with center in $S \subseteq V$. We consider proper subschemes $Z \subsetneq W$, $\widehat{Z} \subsetneq V$ such that $\rho'^{-1}(Z)$ agrees with $\widehat{Z} \cap (V \smallsetminus S)$, where $\rho' : V \smallsetminus S \to W$ is the (regular) restriction of ρ. More precisely, we assume that we have compatible vector bundles $\mathscr{E}_V$ on V, $\mathscr{E}_W$ on W, and sections s_V of $\mathscr{E}_V$, s_W of $\mathscr{E}_W$, such that the outer diagram in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{E}_V|_{V\smallsetminus S} & \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } & \rho'^{*}\mathscr{E}_W \\ {\scriptstyle s_V|_{V\smallsetminus S}}\uparrow & \searrow\ \swarrow & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \rho'^{*}s_W} \\ & V \smallsetminus S & \end{array}$$

commutes; and we let Z, resp., $\widehat{Z}$ be the zero-schemes of s_W, resp., s_V. Loosely speaking, $\widehat{Z}$ is the inverse image of Z under ρ (but of course an inverse image is not defined as ρ is only assumed to be rational).

Theorem 4.4. *Let $Z \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} W$, resp. $\widehat{Z} \overset{\hat{\imath}}{\hookrightarrow} V$ be zero-schemes of matching sections of compatible vector bundles of rank $r+1$, as above. Assume $r < (\dim V - \dim S)$. Then*

$$\hat{\imath}_* s(\widehat{Z}, V) = [V] - s(\mathscr{E}_V) \cap ((c(\mathscr{E}_W) \cap ([W] - i_* s(Z, W))) \vee S) \quad . \tag{6}$$

Proof. After projectivizing the bundles, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}_V|_{V\smallsetminus S}) & \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } & \mathbb{P}(\rho'^{*}\mathscr{E}_W) \\ {\scriptstyle s_V|_{V\smallsetminus S}}\uparrow & \searrow\ \swarrow & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \rho'^{*}s_W} \\ & V \smallsetminus S & \end{array}$$

where $s_V|_{V\smallsetminus S}$, $\rho'^{*}s_W$ are the rational sections induced by their regular namesakes. It follows that

$$\overline{s_V(V \smallsetminus S)} = \overline{\rho'^{*}s_W(V \smallsetminus S)} \quad ,$$

and this implies that $\left[\overline{s_V(V)}\right]$ and $\left[\overline{s_W(W)}\right]$ are compatible classes in the sense of Definition 3.4. These are the classes of the blow-ups $[B\ell_{\widehat{Z}}V]$ and $[B\ell_Z W]$, respectively. Also, the dimension d of these classes is $\dim V$, and $\dim V > r + \dim S$ by hypothesis. By Theorem 3.5, we have an equality of shadows

$$\underline{[B\ell_{\widehat{Z}}V]} = \underline{[B\ell_Z W]} \vee S \quad .$$

The stated formula is then a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1. □

Corollary 4.5. *With the same notation, assume $r+1 < (\dim V - \dim S)$. Then*

$$\hat{\imath}_* s(\widehat{Z}, V) = s(\mathscr{E}_V) \cap ((c(\mathscr{E}_W) \cap i_* s(Z, W)) \vee S) \quad . \tag{7}$$

Proof. For all i, we have the exact sequence

$$A_i S \longrightarrow A_i V \longrightarrow A_i(V \smallsetminus S) \longrightarrow 0$$

([Ful84, Proposition 1.8]). It follows that $A_i V \cong A_i(V \smallsetminus S)$ for $i > \dim S$. The fact that the bundles are compatible implies that $c(\mathscr{E}_V) \cap [V]$ and $(c(\mathscr{E}_W) \cap [W]) \vee S$ agree after restriction to $V \smallsetminus S$. If $r+1 < (\dim V - \dim S)$, then the codimension of S exceeds the rank of these bundles, hence we can deduce that $c(\mathscr{E}_V) \cap [V] = (c(\mathscr{E}_W) \cap [W]) \vee S$ in $A_* V$.

Therefore $s(\mathscr{E}) \cap ((c(\mathscr{E}_W) \cap [W]) \vee S) = [V]$ if $r+1 < (\dim V - \dim S)$, and the stated equality follows from Theorem 4.4. □

Remark 4.6. A refinement of the argument proving Theorem 4.4 shows that (7) holds as an identity of classes *on* $\widehat{Z}$ if $r+1 < (\dim V - \dim S)$. In our application in §5 we will only need the equality after push-forward, as given in Corollary 4.5.

Identity (6) cannot be stated in $A_*\widehat{Z}$, so this strengthening is not available for Theorem 4.4. However, the advantage of the weaker requirement on $\dim S$ in the hypotheses of Theorem 4.4 may be important from the computational viewpoint. ⌟

5. The Segre zeta function of a homogeneous ideal

In this section we define the 'Segre zeta function' of a homogeneous ideal in a polynomial ring, and prove its rationality and other features. We will apply the results obtained in the previous sections to the projection $\rho : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ with center at a subspace $\mathbb{P}^m$, $m = N-n-1$; this is a projection in the sense used in the previous sections. The join operation $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \vee \mathbb{P}^m$ acts as a 'partial pull-back' on the Chow ring:

$$A^i\mathbb{P}^n \to A^i\mathbb{P}^N \quad , \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma \vee \mathbb{P}^m$$

is defined by sending the generator H^i of $A^i\mathbb{P}^n$ to the generator $H^i \in A^i\mathbb{P}^N$; here H denotes the hyperplane class (in both $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^N$).

Notice that, geometrically, this is indeed a 'join' operation: H^i is represented by a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^{n-i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$; the corresponding class in $A_{N-i}\mathbb{P}^N$ is the class of the join of $\mathbb{P}^{n-i}$ and the center $\mathbb{P}^m$ of the projection.

Also note that this is *not* a ring homomorphism: $H^{n+1} = 0$ in $A^*\mathbb{P}^n$, while $H^{n+1} \neq 0$ in $A^*\mathbb{P}^N$ for $N > n$.

It will be convenient to adopt a 'cohomological' notation, and represent classes in projective space $\mathbb{P}^r$ as polynomials of degrees $\le r$ in the hyperplane class, which will uniformly be denoted H. With this convention, the join operation $A_*\mathbb{P}^n \to A_*\mathbb{P}^N$ acts in the simplest possible way:

$$\gamma \mapsto \gamma \vee \mathbb{P}^m \quad : \quad P(H) \mapsto P(H) \quad .$$

However, care has to be taken to ensure that the polynomial at the source has degree $\le n$. We will denote by $[P(H)]_{\le n}$ the truncation of the polynomial $P(H)$ to H^n. This operation may be extended to power series in H.

Example 5.1. With this notation,

$$\left[\frac{dH}{1+dH}\right]_4 = dH - d^2H^2 + d^3H^3 - d^4H^4$$

represents $s(X, \mathbb{P}^4) \in A_*\mathbb{P}^4$ for a degree-d hypersurface X in $\mathbb{P}^4$. It also represents the join $s(X, \mathbb{P}^4) \vee \mathbb{P}^m \in A_*\mathbb{P}^{m+5}$, for every $m \ge 0$. ⌟

Now let $I \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal. For any $N > n$, we let $I_N \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ be the extension of I, and we denote by $Z_N \overset{\iota_N}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^N$ the subscheme defined by I_N. As above, we will denote by H the hyperplane class (in any projective space).

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a well-defined power series*

$$\zeta_I(t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} a_i t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$$

such that for all $N \ge n$,

$$\iota_{N*} s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i H^i \cap [\mathbb{P}^N] \quad .$$

Definition 5.3. The *Segre zeta function* of the ideal I is the power series $\zeta_I(t)$ obtained in Lemma 5.2. ⌟

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We have to show that if $M > N$ and

$$\iota_{N*} s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i [\mathbb{P}^{N-i}] \quad , \quad \iota_{M*} s(Z_M, \mathbb{P}^M) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} b_i [\mathbb{P}^{M-i}] \quad ,$$

then $b_i = a_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, N$.

In this situation we can view $\mathbb{P}^N$ as embedded in $\mathbb{P}^M$ as the linear subspace defined by $x_{N+1} = \cdots = x_M = 0$. We have

$$I_N = I_M \cap k[x_0, \dots, x_N] \quad ,$$

and correspondingly $Z_N = \mathbb{P}^N \cap Z_M$. This intersection is transversal (in fact, splayed), hence repeated application of [AF15, Lemma 4.1] gives

$$s(\widehat{Z}_N, \mathbb{P}^N) = [\mathbb{P}^N] \cdot s(\widehat{Z}_M, \mathbb{P}^M) \quad . \tag{8}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i [\mathbb{P}^{N-i}] = [\mathbb{P}^N] \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{M} b_i [\mathbb{P}^{M-i}]$$

with the stated consequence. □

Remark 5.4. By essentially the same argument, we see that if $N < n$, then $\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i H^i \cap [\mathbb{P}^N]$ equals $\iota_{N*} s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N)$, where now Z_N is the intersection of Z_n with a general N-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n$. In particular, the first nonzero coefficient a_s in $\zeta_I(t)$ occurs for $s =$ the codimension of Z_N in $\mathbb{P}^N$ for N large enough; it follows that $s = \operatorname{codim} I$. Also, a_s equals the degree of the top-dimensional part of the cycle determined by $[Z_N]$. For example, if I is prime, then

$$\zeta_I(t) = (\deg I)\, t^{\operatorname{codim} I} + \text{higher order terms} \quad .$$

where $\deg I = \deg Z_N$ (for $N \gg 0$). ⌟

Example 5.5. If I is a complete intersection, i.e., it is generated by a regular sequence $(F_1, \dots, F_r)$, with $\deg F_i = d_i$, then

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{d_1 \cdots d_r\, t^r}{(1 + d_1 t) \cdots (1 + d_r t)} \quad .$$

Indeed, Z_N is then regularly embedded in $\mathbb{P}^N$, hence its Segre class is given by $s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N) = c(N_{Z_N}\mathbb{P}^N)^{-1} \cap [Z_N]$ ([Ful84, Proposition 4.1]). The normal bundle of Z_N has Chern class $(1 + d_1 H) \cdots (1 + d_r H)$, and $[Z] = d_1 \cdots d_r H^r$. ⌟

Example 5.6. For $I \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, $\zeta_I(t)$ is not determined by the scheme Z defined by I in $\mathbb{P}^n$. For example, consider $I_1 = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ and $I_2 = (x_0^2, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. These ideals both define the empty set in $\mathbb{P}^n$, while

$$\zeta_{I_1}(t) = \frac{t^{n+1}}{(1+t)^{n+1}} \quad , \quad \zeta_{I_2}(t) = \frac{2\, t^{n+1}}{(1+2t)(1+t)^n}$$

as seen in Example 5.5. We will prove that $\zeta_I(t)$ is determined by Z and by the degrees of a set of generators for I, provided the number of generators does not exceed $n + 1$, see Corollary 5.9; also see Corollary 5.15 for a somewhat stronger statement. ⌟

Example 5.7. Let $I = (x_0^2 - x_1^2, x_0 x_1^2 - x_2^3, x_0^4 - x_2^4)$. Using the Macaulay2 implementation of the algorithm in [Alu03], we can compute the Segre class of the scheme cut out by the generators of I in $\mathbb{P}^9$, and this determines the first several coefficients of $\zeta_I(t)$:

$$\zeta_I(t) = 2t^2 + 6t^3 - 106t^4 + 750t^5 - 4138t^6 + 20286t^7 - 92986t^8 + 408750t^9 - \cdots$$

(this computation takes several minutes on a Macbook Pro). ⌟

The natural question is whether general statements can be made concerning $\zeta_I(t)$. For example, it is perhaps not completely obvious from the definition that the power series $\zeta_I(t)$ has positive radius of convergence. Statements restricting the type of series $\zeta_I(t)$ can be are potentially useful in computations of Segre classes, as we will illustrate below (Example 5.11). The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $I \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal, and let $\zeta_I(t)$ be the power series defined above. Also, let $d_0, \dots, d_r$ be the degrees of the elements in any homogeneous basis of I. Then*

(1) *$\zeta_I(t)$ is rational: there exist unique relatively prime polynomials $P_I(t), Q_I(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, with $Q_I(t)$ monic, such that*

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{P_I(t)}{Q_I(t)} \quad .$$

(2) *The polynomial $Q_I(t)$ divides $(1+d_0t)\cdots(1+d_rt)$. Thus, the poles of $\zeta_I(t)$ can only occur at $-1/d_i$, where d_i is a degree of an element in a minimal homogeneous basis of I.*

(3) *With notation as above, $\zeta_I(t) = \dfrac{N(t) + (\prod_i d_i)t^{r+1}}{\prod_i(1+d_it)}$ for a degree r polynomial $N(t)$ with nonnegative coefficients and trailing term of degree* $\operatorname{codim} I$.

Part (3) has the following immediate consequence (cf. Example 5.6).

Corollary 5.9. *The Segre zeta function $\zeta_I(t)$ of an ideal I generated by homogeneous polynomials $f_0, \dots, f_r \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is determined by the Segre class of the zero-scheme $Z = Z_n$ of I in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and by the integers* $\deg f_i$, *provided $n \geq r$.*

Remark 5.10. More precisely, if $n \geq r$, then the components $s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n)_j$ with $j \geq n - r$ (together with the integers $\deg f_i$) suffice to determine $\zeta_I(t)$, since they suffice to determine the polynomial $N(t)$ in Theorem 5.8 (3).

In fact, if $n > r$, then we can replace Z by the intersection of Z with a general $\mathbb{P}^r$ and reduce to the case $n = r$. This follows from [AF15, Lemma 4.1], which shows that this operation does not change the terms of codimension $\leq r$ in the Segre class, see (8). (Cf. Remark 5.4.) ⌟

Example 5.11. As an illustration of how Theorem 5.8 may be useful in computations, revisit Example 5.7, taking Corollary 5.9 into account. Here $n = r = 2$, $d_0 = 2$, $d_1 = 3$, $d_2 = 4$. By Theorem 5.8 (3),

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{N(t) + 24t^3}{(1+2t)(1+3t)(1+4t)} \quad ,$$

with $\deg N(t) \leq 2$. It follows that $\zeta_I(t)$ is determined by the coefficients of the terms of degree ≤ 2, i.e., by the Segre class of the scheme cut out by the generators of I in $\mathbb{P}^2$. The same implementation of the algorithm used in Example 5.7 computes this information,

$$\zeta_I(t) \equiv 2t^2 \mod t^3 \quad ,$$

in less than half a second. It follows that

$$N(t) = [(2t^2 + 6t^3)(1+2t)(1+3t)(1+4t)]_2 = 2t^2$$

and therefore the same data obtained above,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_I(t) &= \frac{2t^2 + 24t^3}{(1+2t)(1+3t)(1+4t)} \\ &= 2t^2 + 6t^3 - 106t^4 + 750t^5 - 4138t^6 + 20286t^7 - 92986t^8 + 408750t^9 - \cdots \quad ,\end{aligned}$$

is found with a roughly 1000-fold increase in speed with respect to the more direct computation. (And $\zeta_I(t)$ is now known to all orders.) ⌟

Theorem 5.8 admits the following refinement, which also leads to a strengthening of Corollary 5.9. Recall that the *degree sequence* of an ideal is the sequence $d_0 \leq \cdots \leq d_r$ of degrees of elements of any homogeneous minimal basis for the ideal; this sequence does not depend on the chosen minimal basis. We also recall that a *reduction* of an ideal I is an ideal $J \subseteq I$ with the same integral closure as I. We denote by $\overline{I}$ the integral closure of I.

Proposition 5.12. $\zeta_{\overline{I}}(t) = \zeta_I(t)$.

Corollary 5.13. *The results of parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 5.8 hold with $d_0 \leq \cdots \leq d_r$ the degree sequence of any homogeneous reduction of I:*

- $Q_I(t) \mid \prod_i (1 + d_i t)$;
- $\zeta_I(t) = \dfrac{N(t) + (\prod_i d_i)t^{r+1}}{\prod_i (1 + d_i t)}$ *for a degree r polynomial $N(t)$ with nonnegative coefficients and trailing term of degree* $\operatorname{codim} I$.

Corollary 5.13 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.8 and Proposition 5.12. We also highlight the following consequence.

Corollary 5.14. *Every pole of the Segre zeta function of I is an element of the degree sequence of a minimal homogeneous reduction of I.*

Proof of Proposition 5.12. The integral closure of the extension of I in $k[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ equals the extension of the integral closure, so it suffices to verify that if Z, resp., $\overline{Z}$ are the schemes defined by I, resp. $\overline{I}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$, then $s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n) = s(\overline{Z}, \mathbb{P}^n)$. (Since Z, $\overline{Z}$ have the same support, their Chow groups may be identified.) By [Vas05, Proposition 1.44], there is a natural finite morphism of blow-ups $B\ell_{\overline{Z}}\mathbb{P}^n \to B\ell_Z \mathbb{P}^n$. It follows that the inverse image of Z in $B\ell_{\overline{Z}}\mathbb{P}^n$ equals the exceptional divisor of $B\ell_{\overline{Z}}\mathbb{P}^n$, and the equality follows by the birational invariance of Segre classes ([Ful84, Proposition 4.2]). □

Corollary 5.13 could also be helpful in the construction of algorithms computing Segre classes: an ideal may be replaced with a minimal homogeneous reduction without affecting the computation of the Segre zeta function, and in general this reduces the length of the degree sequence used to construct a denominator for $\zeta_I(t)$. We formalize this observation as follows.

Corollary 5.15. *Let $I \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal, and let Z be the scheme defined by I in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then the Segre zeta function $\zeta_I(t)$ is determined by the degree sequence $d_0 \leq \cdots \leq d_r$ of a minimal reduction of I and by $\iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n)_i$, $i \geq n - r$, provided $n \geq r$.* ⌟

The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 5.8 will be the following statement, where we use the notation introduced at the beginning of this section.

Proposition 5.16. *Let $I = (f_0, \ldots, f_r) \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials f_i, $i = 0, \ldots, r$, and let $d_i = \deg f_i$. For $N \geq n$, let $Z_N \overset{\iota_N}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^N$ be the subscheme defined by $f_0, \ldots, f_r$ in $\mathbb{P}^N$ (as above).*

$$\text{If } n \geq r+1, \text{ then } \quad \iota_{N*} s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N) = \left[\frac{[\prod_i (1 + d_i H) \cdot \iota_{n*} s(Z_n, \mathbb{P}^n)]_n}{\prod_i (1 + d_i H)} \right]_N \quad . \tag{9}$$

Identity (9) should be compared with (2). As promised in §2, the rational function expressed by (2) is independent of all choices.

Proof. We apply Corollary 4.5 with $W = \mathbb{P}^n$, $V = \mathbb{P}^N$, $\rho : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ the projection with center at $\mathbb{P}^m$, $m = N - n - 1$. We view $I \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ with generators $f_0, \ldots, f_r$ in degree $d_0, \ldots, d_r$, as the ideal of the zero-scheme $Z = Z_n$ of the section $s_W = (f_0, \ldots, f_r)$ of $\mathscr{E}_W = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_r)$. We take $\mathscr{E}_V = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d_r)$; it is clear that $\mathscr{E}_W$ and $\mathscr{E}_V$ are compatible in the sense of Definition 3.4. It is also clear that the section $s_V = (f_0, \ldots, f_r)$ of $\mathscr{E}_V$ is compatible with s_W, and $\widehat{Z} = Z_N$ is its zero-scheme. We are therefore in the situation of Corollary 4.5, and we can conclude that

$$\hat{\imath}_* s(\widehat{Z}, V) = s(\mathscr{E}_V) \cap ((c(\mathscr{E}_W) \cap i_* s(Z, W)) \vee S) \quad .$$

if $r + 1 < (\dim V - \dim S) = N - m = n + 1$, i.e., $n \geq r + 1$. This gives (9) as needed. □

Remark 5.17. The same argument, using Theorem 4.4, gives the statement:

$$\text{If } n \geq r, \text{ then: } \quad \iota_{N*}s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N) = \left[1 - \frac{[(\prod_i (1+d_iH)(1-\iota_{n*}s(Z_n,\mathbb{P}^n)))]_n}{\prod_i(1+d_iH)}\right]_N \quad .$$

This is stronger than (9), in the sense that the advantage of computing the 'input' Segre class at numerator in $\mathbb{P}^r$, i.e., with $n = r$, rather than $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ may be substantial. (In our illustrative Examples 5.7 and 5.11, the computation in $\mathbb{P}^3$ takes about twice as long as the computation in $\mathbb{P}^2$.) This advantage is absorbed by the fact that the term of degree $r+1$ in the numerator of (9) is in fact known *a priori,* as stated in Theorem 5.8 (3) and as we will show in a moment. ⌟

Since the numerator of (9) is independent of N, Proposition 5.16 implies the rationality of $\zeta_I(t)$. This establishes Theorem 5.8, parts (1) and (2).

To prove part (3), let $f_0, \ldots, f_r$ be homogeneous generators of I, let $d_i = \deg f_i$, and choose $n \geq r+1$. Then

$$\zeta_I(H) = \frac{[\prod_i (1+d_iH)\cdot \iota_{n*}s(Z_n,\mathbb{P}^n)]_n}{\prod_i(1+d_iH)}$$

by (9). Let $X_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be the hypersurface defined by the vanishing of f_i, so that $Z_n = X_0 \cap \cdots \cap X_r$. The numerator

$$\prod_i (1+d_iH)\cdot \iota_{n*}s(Z_n,\mathbb{P}^n) \in A_*\mathbb{P}^n \tag{10}$$

is the push-forward to $\mathbb{P}^n$ of the class used to define the intersection product $X_0 \cdots X_r$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$, as recalled in §2, by means of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_n & \xrightarrow{\quad\iota_n\quad} & \mathbb{P}^n \\ {\scriptstyle\delta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\Delta} \\ X_0 \times \cdots \times X_r & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^n \end{array}$$

In particular, its term of degree $r+1$ in H is $d_0 \cdots d_r\, H^{r+1}$, by Bézout's theorem; therefore the coefficient of t^{r+1} in (10) equals $\prod_i d_i$, as claimed in Theorem 5.8 (3). The trailing term is discussed in Remark 5.4. Further, the class (10) may also be written as follows. Let $N = \delta^*(N_{X_0\times\cdots\times X_r}\mathbb{P}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^n)$. Then the normal cone C of Z_n in $\mathbb{P}^n$ may be embedded in N, and (10) equals

$$\iota_{n*}q_*\left(c(\xi) \cap [\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1)]\right) \tag{11}$$

where ξ is the universal quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1)$ and q is the projection from $\mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1)$ to Z_n. (This follows from the projection formula and the definition of Segre class.) Since $\dim \mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1) = n$ and $\operatorname{rk}\xi = r+1$, the components of this class of codimension $> (r+1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ necessarily vanish. This shows that (10) has degree $(r+1)$ as a polynomial in H.

The last remaining assertion in Theorem 5.8 (3) is that the numerator has nonnegative coefficients, that is, that (10) is effective. But note that

$$N \cong \iota^*\left(\mathscr{O}(d_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}(d_n)\right)$$

with $d_i > 0$, hence it is generated by global section. As ξ is a quotient of $q^*(N \oplus 1)$, it is also generated by global sections. It follows that (11) is nonnegative, by [Ful84, Example 12.1.7(a)], and this concludes the proof of Theorem 5.8. □

For clarity, we present here the skeleton of an algorithm computing the Segre zeta function of an ideal, assuming that a basic algorithm computing Segre classes of subschemes in projective space is available. As illustrated in Example 5.11, this can also act as a bootstrap for current algorithms computing Segre classes, improving their performance quite substantially in some cases. Assume I is an ideal in $k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$, defined by homogeneous generators f_i.

- Test each f_i to see if it is in the integral closure of the other generators. If it is, remove it from the list and replace I by the ideal generated by the remaining elements. Repeat until no such element is left.
- Let $r+1$ be the number of generators left. If $n < r$, extend I to ensure $n = r$. If $n > r$, replace $r - n$ variables x_i with general linear combinations of the other r variables, again to obtain $r = n$, and restrict I.
- Compute $\iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^r)$, where $Z \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^r$ is the subscheme defined by I. Write it as a polynomial $S(t)$ of degree $\leq r$. Let $N(t) = [S(t) \prod_i (1 + (\deg f_i)t)]_r$.
- The Segre zeta function of the given ideal is then $\zeta_I(t) = \dfrac{N(t) + (\prod_i \deg f_i)t^{r+1}}{\prod_i (1 + (\deg f_i)t)}$.

(Some of these steps are optional: for example, testing for integral dependence may be computationally demanding, and it can be omitted.) Once the Segre zeta function is known, extracting the information of $s(Z_N, \mathbb{P}^N)$ is immediate for *all* $N \geq 0$.

6. Challenges and examples

There are several natural problems raised by the description of the Segre zeta function obtained in §5.

(1) Determine the poles of $\zeta_I(t)$.

Let $d_0 \leq \cdots \leq d_r$ be the degree sequence of a minimal homogeneous reduction of I. As observed in Corollary 5.14,

$$-1/d \text{ is a pole of } \zeta_I(t) \implies d \text{ is a number in this sequence.} \tag{12}$$

However, examples show that $Q_I(t)$ does not necessarily equal $\prod_i (1 + d_i t)$ (see §6.2). It would be interesting to examine the extent to which a converse of (12) may hold.

(2) Describe a numerator of $\zeta_I(t)$ explicitly.

By our main result, if d_i, $i = 0, \ldots, r$ are the degrees of any choice of generators for I (or even of a reduction of I), then $(\prod_i (1+d_i t))\, \zeta_I(t)$ is a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients and leading term $d_0 \cdots d_r\, t^{r+1}$. The fact that the coefficients are nonnegative suggests that these may be expressed as dimensions of vector spaces associated with I (maybe ranks of suitable cohomology modules?), or perhaps as volumes of polytopes determined by I.

(3) Study the behavior of $\zeta_I(t)$ with respect to standard ideal operations.

For example, assume $I', I'' \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ are *splayed;* for instance, we could assume that generators for I' and I'' are polynomials in different sets of variables. Then

$$\zeta_{I'+I''}(t) = \zeta_{I'}(t) \cdot \zeta_{I''}(t) \quad .$$

Indeed, it suffices to verify that in this case, for $N \gg 0$

$$s(Z'_N \cap Z''_N, \mathbb{P}^N) = s(Z'_N, \mathbb{P}^N) \cdot s(Z''_N, \mathbb{P}^N)$$

where Z'_N, Z''_N are the schemes defined by extensions of I', I''. Since the extensions are also splayed, this holds by [AF15, Lemma 3.1].

(4) Compute projective invariants of a subvariety $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ in terms of the Segre zeta function of an ideal defining Z.

Concerning (2), we can describe a numerator for $\zeta_I(t)$ more explicitly in two situations, presented in §6.1 and §6.2. We will illustrate (4) in §6.3 by computing the *ranks* of a nonsingular subvariety $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ in terms of its Segre zeta function, and we show how this implies the well-known fact that the dual of a nonsingular complete intersection is a hypersurface. In §6.4 we prove that, under certain hypotheses, the Segre zeta function of a *local* complete intersection in projective space equals the Segre zeta function of a *global* complete intersection.

6.1. **Linear systems.** Assume Z is cut out by hypersurfaces from a fixed linear system, i.e., the homogeneous generators f_i of $I \subseteq k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ have the same degree d. A reduction of I is then generated by $\leq n+1$ general linear combinations of the polynomials f_i. (In fact, n suffice in a neighborhood of Z, [Alua, §3]; and the $(n+1)$-st guarantees that the linear combinations do not vanish elsewhere.) By Corollary 5.13,

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{A(t)}{(1+dt)^{n+1}}$$

for some polynomial $A(t)$ with nonnegative coefficients. This argument recovers [Alud, Theorem 4.3]. Assuming that k is algebraically closed, of characteristic 0, we obtained in [Alud, (15)] the following description of $A(t)$:

$$A(t) = a_0(1+dH)^n + a_1 H(1+dH)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n H^n + d^{n+1}t^{n+1} \quad ,$$

where $a_i = d^i - N_i$, $N_i =$ the number of points of intersection of i general hypersurfaces in the linear system and $n-i$ general hyperplanes ([Alud, Theorem 1.2]).

This observation can form the basis of an algorithm computing the Segre zeta function in this case. However, the saturation needed to compute the numbers N_i is computationally expensive; and it may be argued that one of the main reasons to compute Segre classes is precisely in order to solve problems such as determining the numbers N_i, so this approach swims against the stream. On the other hand, we do not know of any such concrete interpretation for the numerator of a Segre zeta function in general.

6.2. **Monomial ideals.** Rather than giving the most comprehensive statement, we illustrate this case with an example. Let

$$I = (x^7, x^5y, x^4y^2, x^3y^4, x^2y^5, xy^7) \subseteq k[x,y] \quad ,$$

an ideal generated by monomials. The exponent vectors

$$(7,0)\,,\ (5,1)\,,\ (4,2)\,,\ (3,4)\,,\ (2,5)\,,\ (1,7)$$

determine a region in the plane, namely the complement in the positive quadrant of the convex hull of the corresponding translates of the positive quadrant.



This region can be split as a union of triangles, including one 'infinite' triangle, as shown in the picture. With each triangle T with vertices $(0,0), (a_1,a_2), (b_1,b_2)$ we associate a rational function:

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(T)\, t^2}{(1+(a_1+a_2)t)(1+(b_1+b_2)t)}$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}(T) = |a_1b_2 - a_2b_1|$ is the normalized volume of the triangle. With an infinite triangle with vertices at $(0,0)$, (a_1,a_2), and the y direction, we associate the rational function

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(T)\, t}{(1+(a_1+a_2)t)}$$

where now $\mathrm{Vol}(T) = a_1$ is the normalized volume of the projection of the triangle to the x-axis. Adding these contributions in the example shown above, we get

$$\frac{t}{1+8t} + \frac{9t^2}{(1+7t)(1+8t)} + \frac{16t^2}{(1+6t)(1+7t)} + \frac{6t^2}{(1+6t)^2} + \frac{7t^2}{(1+6t)(1+7t)} = \frac{t+57t^2+640t^3+2016t^4}{(1+6t)^2(1+7t)(1+8t)}$$

Claim 6.1.

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{t + 57t^2 + 640t^3 + 2016t^4}{(1+6t)^2(1+7t)(1+8t)} = t + 30\,t^2 - 442\,t^3 + 4578\,t^4 - \cdots$$

This follows from [Aluc, Theorem 1.1] (see §2.2 in the same reference for a discussion of the role of triangulations). The result of [Aluc] shows that the same strategy may be used to compute the Segre zeta function of any ideal in $k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ that is monomial with respect to a sequence of homogeneous polynomials satisfying a weak transversality condition. We refer the reader to [Aluc] more details.

This example illustrate several interesting points.

• The monomial x^3y^4 does not affect the computation, since the corresponding vertex $(3, 4)$ is in the convex hull of the quadrant translates determined by the other exponent vectors. Thus it is clear from this computation that $\zeta_I(t) = \zeta_{I'}(t)$, where

$$I' = (x^7, x^5y, x^4y^2, x^2y^5, xy^7)$$

is obtained by omitting the generator x^3y^4. This is a manifestation of Proposition 5.12: indeed, x^3y^4 is integral over I'.

• In fact, $I = \overline{I'}$ [HS06, Proposition 1.4.6]; I' is a minimal reduction of I, and its degree sequence is $6 \leq 6 \leq 7 \leq 7 \leq 8$. The degree 7 appears twice in this sequence, yet $-1/7$ is a simple pole of $\zeta_I(t)$. So this is an example in which $Q_I(t)$ does not equal the polynomial corresponding to the degree sequence of a homogeneous minimal reduction.

• The numerator $t + 57t^2 + 640t^3 + 2016t^4$ has nonnegative coefficients as prescribed in general by Theorem 5.8 (3). In this case, the nonnegativity is further explained by the fact that this polynomial is a combination of factors of the denominator, which are products of terms $(1 + d_it)$, with coefficients given by *volumes* of certain simplices.

It is tempting to guess that numerators of Segre zeta functions may always be expressed in terms of volumes of certain polytopes in Euclidean space, in analogy with what we have just verified in the monomial case.

6.3. **Ranks of a nonsingular projective variety.** Let $\iota : Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nonsingular projective variety. The projective conormal variety $\mathbb{P}(N_Z^\vee \mathbb{P}^n)$ determines a class of dimension $n-1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n\vee}$:

$$[\mathbb{P}(N_Z^\vee \mathbb{P}^n)] = \delta_0(Z)H^n h + \delta_1(Z)H^{n-1}h^2 + \cdots + \delta_m(Z)H^{n-m}h^{m+1}$$

where H, resp., h are the pull-backs of the hyperplane classes from $\mathbb{P}^n$, resp., $\mathbb{P}^{n\vee}$, and $m = \dim Z$. (It is easy to see that the other terms in the decomposition of $[\mathbb{P}(N_Z^\vee \mathbb{P}^n)]$ vanish.) The integers $\delta_i(Z)$ are the classical *ranks,* or *polar degrees* of Z, and have compelling geometric interpretations. For example, $\delta_m(Z)$ is the degree of Z, while (in characteristic 0) the first nonvanishing $\delta_i(Z)$ is the degree of the dual variety $Z^\vee$ of Z, and the dimension of the dual is $n-1-i$ for the corresponding index i. (See e.g., [Hol79, p. 152], [Hol01, Theorem 1.1].) It follows that $Z^\vee$ is a hypersurface if and only if $\delta_0(Z) \neq 0$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nonsingular subvariety of dimension m, and let I be a homogeneous ideal defining Z in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then, with notation as above,*

$$\zeta_I\left(-\frac{t}{1+t}\right) = (-1)^{n-m}\left(\delta_m(Z)t^{n-m} + \cdots + \delta_0(Z)t^n + \textit{higher order terms}\right). \tag{13}$$

Proof. The definition of ranks implies that

$$\delta_i(Z) = \int H^i \cdot s(N_Z^\vee \mathbb{P}^n(H)) \quad ,$$

see e.g., [Hol01, (1.4)] (but note the different convention for Segre classes used in this reference). Therefore,

$$\iota_*(s(N_Z^\vee \mathbb{P}^n(H)) \cap [Z]) = \left(\delta_m(Z)H^{n-m} + \cdots + \delta_0(Z)H^n\right) \cap [\mathbb{P}^n]$$

On the other hand, since Z is nonsingular,

$$\iota_*(s(N_Z\mathbb{P}^n) \cap [Z]) = \iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n) = \zeta_I(H) \cap [\mathbb{P}^n]$$

in $A_*\mathbb{P}^n$. Taking a dual amounts to changing the sign of terms of every other codimension in the corresponding Segre class, hence

$$\iota_*(s(N_Z^\vee\mathbb{P}^n) \cap [Z]) = (-1)^{n-m}\zeta_I(-H) \cap [\mathbb{P}^n] \quad .$$

By [Alu94, Proposition 1],

$$\iota_*(s(N_Z^\vee\mathbb{P}^n \otimes \mathscr{O}(H)) \cap [Z]) = \iota_*(s(N_Z^\vee\mathbb{P}^n) \cap [Z]) \otimes_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{O}(H) \quad ,$$

and hence

$$\iota_*(s(N_Z^\vee\mathbb{P}^n) \cap [Z]) \otimes_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{O}(H) = (-1)^{n-m}\zeta_I\left(-\frac{H}{1+H}\right) \cap [\mathbb{P}^n]$$

since the effect of the operation $- \otimes_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{O}(H)$ on a class in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is to replace H by $H/(1+H)$. The statement follows. □

Example 6.3. The twisted cubic C in $\mathbb{P}^3$ has degree 3 and admits an ideal I generated by three quadrics. By Theorem 5.8 (3) (and Remark 5.4), this is the only information needed to compute its Segre zeta function:

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{3t^2 + 8t^3}{(1+2t)^3} \quad .$$

By Proposition 6.2, since

$$(-1)^2\zeta_I\left(-\frac{t}{1+t}\right) = \frac{3t^2 - 5t^3}{(1-t)^3} = 3t^2 + 4t^3 + 3t^4 - 5t^6 - \cdots$$

we see $\delta_1 = 3, \delta_0 = 4$, and we conclude that the dual of C is a quartic surface. ⌟

Example 6.4. If Z is a complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_r$, then

$$\zeta_I(t) = \frac{d_1 \cdots d_r\, t^r}{(1+d_1t)\cdots(1+d_rt)}$$

where I is the homogeneous ideal of Z (Example 5.5). By Proposition 6.2, if Z is nonsingular, then the ranks of Z are given by the first several coefficients in

$$(-1)^r\zeta_I\left(-\frac{t}{1+t}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d_i\frac{t_i}{1+t_i}}{1-\frac{d_it}{1+t_i}} = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d_it_i}{1-(d_i-1)t} = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i \sum_{j\geq 0}(d_i-1)^j t^{j+1} \quad .$$

If Z is not a linear subspace, i.e., some d_i is greater than 1, then *all* coefficients of t^i, $i \geq r$ in this series are positive. In particular, $\delta_0 > 0$. this verifies the well-known fact that the dual of a nonsingular complete intersection is necessarily a hypersurface. ⌟

The terms of higher order in $\zeta_I(t)$ or in the series at (13) depend on the specific ideal chosen to cut out the subvariety, and reflect the scheme structure deposited on the vertices of the corresponding cones in higher dimension. Since these cones are singular, the interpretation of these higher-order coefficients as 'ranks' is no longer valid. However, ranks may be defined for singular varieties, and are determined by the *Chern-Mather class* of the variety (see [Pie78, Pie88, Alub]). It is therefore natural to expect that these higher order terms record some 'Chern-Mather' information, and it would be interesting to obtain precise results of this type.

6.4. **Local complete intersections of small codimension in projective space.** The Segre zeta function yields a transparent way to verify that, under suitable hypotheses, local complete intersections in projective space are actually *global* complete intersections. Such results are motivated by Hartshorne's influential conjecture: *If Z is a nonsingular subvariety of codimension r of $\mathbb{P}^n$, and if $r < \frac{1}{3}n$, then Z is a complete intersection,* [Har74]. To date, Hartshorne's conjecture is still open even for subvarieties of codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a local complete intersection of codimension r, and assume that the Chern class of the normal bundle of Z is the pull-back of a class from the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$. Assume Z is defined scheme theoretically by a homogeneous ideal I generated by $\leq \dim Z$ forms. Then the Segre zeta function of Z is the Segre zeta function of a complete intersection.*

We will in fact prove that if $Z = X_1 \cap \cdots \cap X_m$, with X_i hypersurfaces and $m \leq \dim Z$, then (under the other hypotheses in the statement of the proposition) $c(N_Z\mathbb{P}^n) = \iota^* \prod_{i=1}^r c(N_{X_i}\mathbb{P}^n)$ after a reordering of the hypersurfaces.

Proof. Let $I = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$, with F_i homogeneous, and let $d_i = \deg F_i$. We let $N_Z\mathbb{P}^n$ be the normal bundle of Z in $\mathbb{P}^n$; this is a bundle of rank $r = \operatorname{codim}_Z \mathbb{P}^n$. By hypothesis,

$$c(N_Z\mathbb{P}^n) = \iota^*(1 + c_1H + \cdots + c_rH^r)$$

for some integers $c_1, \ldots, c_r$, where H is the hyperplane class in $\mathbb{P}^n$. The Segre class of Z in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is given by

$$s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n) = c(N_Z\mathbb{P}^n)^{-1} \cap [Z] \quad ,$$

hence by the projection formula it pushes forward in $\mathbb{P}^n$ to

$$\iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n) = \iota_*(\iota^*(1+c_1H+\cdots+c_rH^r)^{-1} \cap [Z]) = (1+c_1H+\cdots+c_rH^r)^{-1} \cap (\deg Z)H^r \cap [\mathbb{P}^n] \quad .$$

On the other hand,

$$\iota_* s(Z, \mathbb{P}^n) = \zeta_I(H) \cap [\mathbb{P}^n] \quad ,$$

where $\zeta_I(H)$ is the Segre zeta function determined by I. By the main theorem,

$$\zeta_I(H) = \frac{P(H)}{(1 + d_1H) \cdots (1 + d_mH)}$$

where $P(H) = (\deg Z)H^r + \cdots + d_1 \cdots d_mH^m$. (The coefficient of H^r equals $\deg Z$ because Z is a local complete intersection.) Therefore, we have the equality of rational equivalence classes in $\mathbb{P}^n$:

$$(1 + c_1H + \cdots + c_rH^e)^{-1} \cap (\deg Z)H^r \cap [\mathbb{P}^n] = \frac{P(H)}{(1 + d_1H) \cdots (1 + d_mH)} \cap [\mathbb{P}^n] \quad ,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\deg Z)(1 + d_1H) \cdots (1 + d_mH)H^r \cap [\mathbb{P}^n] \\ = (1 + c_1H + \cdots + c_rH^r)((\deg Z)H^r + \cdots + d_1 \cdots d_mH^m) \cap [\mathbb{P}^n]\end{aligned}$$

in $A_*\mathbb{P}^n \cong \mathbb{Z}[H]/(H^{n+1})$. Both sides of this identity are polynomials of degree $= r + m \leq r + \dim Z = n$ by hypothesis. It follows that the polynomials must be equal *in* $\mathbb{Z}[H]$*:*

$$(\deg Z)(1+d_1H) \cdots (1+d_mH)H^r = (1+c_1H+\cdots+c_rH^r)((\deg Z)H^r+\cdots+d_1 \cdots d_mH^m) \quad .$$

By unique factorization, we can conclude that

$$(1 + c_1H + \cdots + c_rH^r) = (1 + d_1H) \cdots (1 + d_rH)$$

and

$$(\deg Z)H^r + \cdots + d_1 \cdots d_mH^m = (\deg Z)(1 + d_{r+1}H) \cdots (1 + d_mH)H^e$$

after reordering the factors $(1+d_iH)$. Therefore, $\deg Z = d_1 \cdots d_r$ and the Chern class of the normal bundle $N_Z\mathbb{P}^n$ agrees with the Chern class of a complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_r$. The result follows. □

By what we verified in Example 6.4 we can draw the following consequence.

Corollary 6.6. *Let $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nonsingular subvariety satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 6.5. Then the dual of Z is a hypersurface.*

The hypothesis on the number of hypersurfaces cutting out Z forces the codimension of Z to be 'small', since if Z is cut out by $\leq \dim Z$ hypersurfaces, then necessarily $\dim Z \geq n - \dim Z$, i.e., $\operatorname{codim}_Z \mathbb{P}^n \leq \frac{1}{2}n$. Thus Corollary 6.6 lends some support to the 'Duality defect conjecture', stating that the dual of a nonsingular subvariety of low codimension in projective space should be a hypersurface.

The hypothesis that the Chern class of the normal bundle of Z is a restriction from the ambient space holds automatically if Z is nonsingular of codimension 2, by [Har74, Theorem 2.2, Proposition 6.1]. Analogous results for smooth varieties in higher codimension, subject to delicate inequalities, may be found in [Net88].

Under more stringent hypotheses, one can conclude that Z is actually a global complete intersection. For example, consider the following condition on a scheme Z of codimension r, cut out by m hypersurfaces:

> (*) There exist hypersurfaces X_i, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, such that $Z = X_1 \cap \cdots \cap X_m$ and that Z is a component of the intersection $X_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap X_{i_r}$ for all r-tuples of distinct hypersurfaces $X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_r}$.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an irreducible and reduced local complete intersection satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 6.5* and *condition (*). Then Z is a complete intersection.*

This follows from Proposition 6.5 and the refined Bézout theorem ([Ful84, Theorem 12.3]). Condition (*) is verified if $\operatorname{codim}_Z \mathbb{P}^n = 2$, or if the m hypersurfaces all have the same degree, as can be verified easily.

Requirements such as condition (*) may be bypassed, again subject to certain inequalities involving r, m, n (see [Fal81], [Net88]).

References

[AF15] Paolo Aluffi and Eleonore Faber. Chern classes of splayed intersections. *Canad. J. Math.*, 67(6):1201–1218, 2015.

[Alua] Paolo Aluffi. How many hypersurfaces does it take to cut out a segre class? arXiv:1605.00012.

[Alub] Paolo Aluffi. Projective duality and a Chern-Mather involution. arXiv:1601.05427, to appear in TAMS.

[Aluc] Paolo Aluffi. Segre classes as integrals over polytopes. arXiv:1307.0830; to appear in JEMS.

[Alud] Paolo Aluffi. Tensored segre classes. arXiv:1605.09393.

[Alu94] Paolo Aluffi. MacPherson's and Fulton's Chern classes of hypersurfaces. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (11):455–465, 1994.

[Alu03] Paolo Aluffi. Computing characteristic classes of projective schemes. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 35(1):3–19, 2003.

[Alu04] Paolo Aluffi. Shadows of blow-up algebras. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 56(4):593–619, 2004.

[Alu13] Paolo Aluffi. Segre classes of monomial schemes. *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.*, 20:55–70, 2013.

[Alu15] Paolo Aluffi. Degrees of Projections of Rank Loci. *Exp. Math.*, 24(4):469–488, 2015.

[EJP13] David Eklund, Christine Jost, and Chris Peterson. A method to compute Segre classes of subschemes of projective space. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 12(2), 2013.

[Fal81] Gerd Faltings. Ein Kriterium für vollständige Durchschnitte. *Invent. Math.*, 62(3):393–401, 1981.

[Ful84] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[Har] Corey Harris. Computing segre classes in arbitrary projective varieties. arXiv:1511.06906.

[Har74] Robin Hartshorne. Varieties of small codimension in projective space. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80:1017–1032, 1974.

[Hel16] Martin Helmer. Algorithms to compute the topological Euler characteristic, Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class and Segre class of projective varieties. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 73:120–138, 2016.

[Hol79] Audun Holme. On the dual of a smooth variety. In *Algebraic geometry (Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1978)*, volume 732 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 144–156. Springer, Berlin, 1979.

[Hol01] Audun Holme. A combinatorial proof of the duality defect conjecture in codimension 2. *Discrete Math.*, 241(1-3):363–378, 2001.

[HS06] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[Net88] Nikita Netsvetaev. Projective varieties defined by small number of equations are complete intersections. In *Topology and geometry—Rohlin Seminar*, volume 1346 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 433–453. Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[Pie78] Ragni Piene. Polar classes of singular varieties. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 11(2):247–276, 1978.

[Pie88] Ragni Piene. Cycles polaires et classes de Chern pour les variétés projectives singulières. In *Introduction à la théorie des singularités, II*, volume 37 of *Travaux en Cours*, pages 7–34. Hermann, Paris, 1988.

[Vas05] Wolmer Vasconcelos. *Integral closure*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

Mathematics Department, Florida State University, Tallahassee FL 32306, U.S.A.
E-mail address: aluffi@math.fsu.edu